

60-40635

Red,

I believe DDS's comments on our Recommendations 3, 5, 6a and 7 are responsive enough to require no rebuttal on my part.

On Recommendation No. 4 I believe that the record will show that it has taken about seven years on the average from the time an employee enters on duty until he completes the apprentice program. We believe that with modern training techniques which ought to be available to us in 1966 this period of time could be substantially reduced. With the difficulties being encountered in recruiting and with many PSD people nearing retirement age, we believe PSD will be stretched very thin indeed in a few years unless something like we suggest is done.

On Recommendation 6b I continue to feel strongly that the sensitivity and amount of the intelligence processed by PSD makes it a logical high priority espionage target. Is there really any reason why this can't be recognized and the reinvestigation program appropriately adjusted?

On Recommendation No. 8 we recognize that there are only two safety officers and that the demands on them are considerable. However, we brought the safety and housekeeping problems to their attention in the course of our survey early last June. Since receipt of Howard Osborn's memorandum of 23 August 1966 (copy attached) I have asked our inspectors to give special emphasis to safety in the course of our surveys. Is it unreasonable to expect a plan for dealing with PSD's safety problems six months after our initial discussions of them with the Agency Safety Office in view of the President's program and the steps being taken by the Agency to implement it?

[Redacted Signature]

J. S. Earman

Attachments

25X1

DEC 3 11 11 AM '66

NOV 55 2 22 PM '66

SECRET

NOV 13 2 52 PM '66

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Survey of Printing Services Division

FROM:

Inspector General

EXTENSION

☐

NO.

DD/S 66-6382

DATE

11/22/66

25X1

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Executive Director-
Comptroller

11/22 3/Dec.

W

Please rate
 I. G. Comments
 on your 14 Nov 66
 memo Re PSD.
 I would like to
 meet with you
 and Cannon
 sometime soon
 to make final
 decision on the
 print about
 which there is
 still some
 disagreement.

25X1

2.

DD3

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.